

Eric Orozco - Wilshire

75

~~David Reyes - ELAC~~

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 2:00-3:30 - Debate Round 4

Room: E3 457

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

Con 1st

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership SJ & JX

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
—	Stephanie Jing	3	98
—	Jessica Xue	2	99

Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy RK & JL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
—	Rich Kang	4	97
—	Justin Lee	1	100

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? —

Eric Orozco

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Wilshire

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- I need a lot more clarity
- hotlines between other countries
- UN treaties
 - information on tech
- successful cyber operations
- blackouts causing economic losses
- good defence of hotlines
- US debt can be paid off
- US in good position w/ cyber warfare
- cripple US adversaries
- some pauses between readings
 - makes it tougher to get argument across

- US has more harms than benefits
- health care alone too expensive
- spending ~~more~~ money elsewhere is better
- hackers
 - also affects other countries
- cyber ops on citizens
 - Snowden
 - thousands get privacy invaded
- solid questions in cross
- norm-setting
- very nice volume
- enormous companies are vulnerable
- govt hacking is lose-lose situation
 - \$1 trillion
- increasing cyber arms race

Neg Aff

- solid evidential backing against neg's first speaker
- not sure if it was the best move asking for elaboration on the debt to China
- OCO's work, lots of evidence presented

Aff Neg

- having your opponents recite all of their arguments against your points is an inefficient use of time, and it stopped you from strengthening your own arguments when questioned
- rebuttals seem a little off
- short on time, and wasn't given evidence supporting claims
- instead of using Wikipedia, use the direct source
- argues that risk-benefit is too complicated ^{presented by aff}

Eric Orozco - Wilshire

80

David Reyes - ELAC

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 2:00-3:30 - Debate Round 4

Room: E3 457

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

Neg 1st

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership KH & SG

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
___	Kaitlyn Huang	<u>1</u>	<u>100</u>
___	Susie Gu	<u>2</u>	<u>99</u>

Flip for Sides: Nova 42 Academy JH & JL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
___	Jacky He	<u>4</u>	<u>96</u>
___	Jason Lu	<u>3</u>	<u>97</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ___

Eric Orozco

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Wilshire

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- I'd like some examples of the situations you mentioned were similar
- can act as a deterrence
- intellectual property
- fewer resources, more accessibility
- missing examples of deterrence
- OCO benefits not limited, demonstrates strength
- cannot cause distrust if there already is distrust
- stolen intellectual property leads to substantial economic loss
- simple defensive strategy not effective, already exists

- risk-benefit analysis
- I need more volume and energy
- potentially malicious copies
- prone to escalation
- arguments were a little unorganized
- tough time in cross
- I need a lot more volume and energy
- bring me evidence for rebuttals
- prove to me the aff is wrong
- offense and defense are fundamentally different
- other countries can team up against us

Aff

- neg didn't address copycat claim
- nice job backing claims that went uncontested

Neg

- little success in cyber operations
- evidence?

↖ got called out in grand
cross

-
-
-
-
-
- excellent point made about how increasing offence escalates international relations

84

Ryan Ohanessian - ELAC**FLIGHT: B**

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 2:00-3:30 - Debate Round 4

Room: E3 455

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP**ASAP****Flip for Sides:** Nova 42 Academy LW & JQ*Aff*

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>2</u>	Leslie Wang	<u>4</u>	<u>87</u>
<u>1</u>	Jennifer Quach	<u>3</u>	<u>88</u>

Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy AC & AC*Neg*

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>2</u>	Ariel Choe	<u>2</u>	<u>89</u>
<u>1</u>	Amanda Chu	<u>1</u>	<u>90</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- Net-benefit analysis
- Lives ^{vs} money
- Neg needs to talk about how econ is bad for lives.
- Arguments need to be developed.
- Voted on neg argument that OCO's lead to escalation -> casualties & war.

Eddie 11/12

76

Richard Hu - ELAC

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 2:00-3:30 - Debate Round 4

Room: E3 508

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy AL & SL *AFP*

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>2</u>	Andrew Lee	<u>1</u>	<u>100</u>
<u>1</u>	Sean Lee	<u>4</u>	<u>85</u>

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth *NEG*
Leadership KK & MC

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>1</u>	Katherine Kligys	<u>2</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>2</u>	Melody Chang	<u>3</u>	<u>90</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

Eddie

ELAC

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFP 4:07

- ~~Someone~~ Someone like KJU will be unhappy and will attack
- don't want anyone to die
- how much it would cost to repair
- able to grant missiles and etc
- will escalate the war with terrorism
- 4:08
- claimed that they did not rebut the third point
- 1:50
- 2:06

NEG 3:43

- ~~after~~ discussing results
- other attacker is something we are already vulnerable
- defense is better than offense
- had to ~~defend~~ defend
- ~~after~~ ~~to a~~ ~~to a~~
- it will lead to back and forth pointless war
- claim that they did not give enough evidence for one of their contention
- 4:00
- ~~after~~ other countries would want to be stronger than U.S.
- 2:08
- ~~2:02~~ 2:02

86

Edward Kunkle - ELAC

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 2:00-3:30 - Debate Round 4

Room: E3 525

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Nova 42 Academy DB & WZ *Neg***Flip for Sides:** Kudos College of Youth *Aff*
Leadership NS & JM

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>2</u>	Daniel Baek	<u>2</u>	<u>98</u>
<u>1</u>	William Zhao	<u>3</u>	<u>97</u>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>2</u>	Nancy Sun	<u>4</u>	<u>96</u>
<u>1</u>	Jevon Mao	<u>1</u>	<u>99</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

ELAC
JUDGE'S AFFILIATIONOCO NegRcon: Reverse engineering

- Can spiral escalation
- Money can be used elsewhere

Cross ex: awesome questions.2 Reb: Great rebuttal

- Failed to address 2nd contention

2 Sum: first strike capability

GC: It is possible to trace a cyber attack.

Too much discussion of escalation

OCO Aff1 Con: Cyber attacks cannot be ignored

- U.S. must deter Russia
- awesome frame of threat.
- need to prioritize cyber attacks / development

Cross ex: speak up. Excellent answer to the deterrence question.1 Reb: excellent job addressing the ambiguity of the attackers.1 Sum: Offensive strategy is the best strategy, preemption.

GC: Without deter, no attack

↳ Snowball fallacy

79

Valeria Cevantes - ELAC**FLIGHT: A**

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 2:00-3:30 - Debate Round 4

Room: E3 517

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP**ASAP****Flip for Sides:** Nova 42 Academy KI & MI

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>AFF</u>	Kanon Iwata	<u>4</u>	<u>91</u>
<u>AFF</u>	Manon Iwata	<u>3</u>	<u>93</u>

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership SH & MA

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>NEG</u>	Shirlyn Huang	<u>2</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>NEG</u>	Madeline Ang	<u>1</u>	<u>96</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

Valeria Cevantes
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

NOVA
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Manon 1 Kanon 2

Madeline 1 Shirlyn 2

AFF (M)

- loss of integrity in elections
- stolen intellectual property
- national security

SAFETY

- pre-emptive strikes
- deter enemies from attacking in the 1st place
- blackouts, etc. famine
- destruction rate ↑

STRATEGICALLY ADVANTAGEOUS

- ↓ terrorism - dropped by NEG
- ↑ compromise
- ↑ options in a situation
- ↓ provocative

OFFENSIVE CYBER OPERATIONS (OCO's)NEG - Utilitarianism

- OCO - ~~work~~ (8/20)
- ↑ vulnerability (SI)
- US failed to deter Russia

- OCO easy to detect
- cripple electric grid

INFRASTRUCTUREATTACK/ARMS RACE

- digital cold war
- Ex. Germany
- hurt hospitals etc.
- air force gets 1 million cyber attacks election
- social media ↑ tensions

AFF (K2)

- cyber attacks since 2000s
- allies regardless of secret information
- military & affected / small enemy countries have vulnerable grids
- pre-emptive strikes
- Iran example
- ISIS
- NEG - OCO's = 93 million deaths

NEG (M2)

- 234. econ billion
- safety
- US power grid attacked
- affects other countries
- AFF dropped NEG 2
- ↑ in stability in I.R.

(NEG X4)

- safety - 93 million
- dropped - NEG 2

AFF (M)-sum

- China stole I.P.
- safe for U.S.
- military

NEG (S) sum

- grid importance
- airforce cyber attacks
- US econ ↓
- dropped NEG 2
- more defense bc in too much offense

AFF (K)

- safety
- ISIS
- accuracy

The AFF dropped the NEG's second contention. The AFF also did not refute the economic expenses that O.C.O's cost, provided by the NEG. AFF also dropped the NEG's contention on social media.

82

Valeria Cevantes - ELAC

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 2:00-3:30 - Debate Round 4

Room: E3 517

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP**ASAP****Flip for Sides:** Kudos College of Youth Leadership HX & AL**Flip for Sides:** Wilshire Academy HS & BS

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>AFF</u>	Hanbo Xu	<u>2</u>	<u>VC 92</u>
<u>AFF</u>	Andy Liu	<u>1</u>	<u>95</u>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>NEG</u>	Hadyeh Saborouh	<u>3</u>	<u>VC 91</u>
<u>NEG</u>	Ben Suh	<u>4</u>	<u>90</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

H

A - PRO

H, I

3, 2 - NEG

NEG (H₁)

- cyber arms race
- affects IR
- prevent wars
- comp.
- cyber arms used against US citizens
- Alexa/Echo dot
- invasion of privacy
- CX - gov't spying on citizens
- violating 4th amendment

AFF (H₁)

- global importance
- stability
- easy to organize
- destruction
- blackout & death
- TREATIES
- electronic war-tive, EX. UN
- CYBER DETERRENCE
- pre-emptive strikes
- ↓ I.R. attacks
- ↓ tensions
- hotline agreement
- norm settings

NEG (B₂)

- 131 million drone shot down
- & effective
- deterrence
- DCO → OCO
- finger-prints stolen

AFF (A₂)

- cold war
- tech good
- Snowden blow to NSA
- OCO helps solve IR problems
- we worked on defense but is not advanced in CO

NEG (H₂) sum

- norm settings
- ex.
- creates
- intensifies
- cyber arms race

AFF (A₁)

- arms race help tech
- Alexa is gov't
- deterrence → attack
- CE:
- Alexa spying & gov't
- when you buy an
- you agree to use/spy
- Alexa spying hypothetical

NEG (B)

- AFF (A) - dropped arguments
- advance technology
- America needs to get ahead

AFF turned the NEG's argument on Alexa being used by the argument to spy on US citizens. NEG dropped the AFF's contentions on OCO's global importance, and it being useful to instigate the creation of treaties. NEG conceded that the gov't spying on the US is hypothetical because of their lack of evidence as well as conceding that the arms race has ~~maintained~~ advanced technology.

85

Edward Kunkle - ELAC

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 2:00-3:30 - Debate Round 4

Room: E3 525

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership AC & CL *Neg*

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>4</u>	Andy Chen	<u>4</u>	<u>96</u>
<u>2</u>	Claire Liu	<u>3</u>	<u>97</u>

Flip for Sides: Nova 42 Academy FY & ED *Aff*

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>1</u>	Felicia Ye	<u>2</u>	<u>98</u>
<u>3</u>	Elizabeth Dashbold	<u>1</u>	<u>99</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.Low Point Win?

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

ELAC
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION1st Neg

- different definitions & framework, perhaps not the most effective.
- Rolling blachout example felt a little counter-intuitive.

Cross-ex

- excellent way of uniting the framework
- did not understand global question.
- Keep time

Neg Reh

- Counter arguments are not making sense

Cross ex. vulnerability question did not make sense.On snap my bad I forgot about

final focus. Very long day, I apologize, sorry for disclosing.

Offensive Cyber Operations1st Aff: Security benefits, not net-benefit based.

- Used diplomatically
- Great fluency, awesome pace.
- Strategic deterrence

Cross-ex

↳ conceded framework

Keep timeAff Reh: Organize your thoughts before speaking when possibleCross-ex - call out when questions don't make sense.Same

87

Alonzo Eldridge - ELAC

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 2:00-3:30 - Debate Round 4

Room: E3 511

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

AFF

NEG

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy ML & MH

Flip for Sides: Nova 42 Academy JK & AY

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>1</u>	Monica Lee	<u>3</u>	<u>88</u>
<u>2</u>	Matthew Hyun	<u>4</u>	<u>87</u>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>1</u>	Jayden Kuo	<u>2</u>	<u>91</u>
<u>2</u>	Angela Yuan	<u>1</u>	<u>97</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFF

Clash

NEG

- Interest - American city are fighting
- physical War - cyber war within themselves
- 9/11 - offensive cyber operation
- Stop through cyber relation - 116,500 thousands deaths
- Environment has not harm through cyber relation - Iran drone and missile
- Cyber warfare
- fossil fuel s/ climate change - U.S and Russia
- OCO's ~~are~~ link to the environment
- Cost benefit Analysis
- OCO's - more arguments
- retaliation to conventional warfare.
- War
- Environment
- Defense or offense offensive cyber operations
- evidence or refute.
- Drop arguments
- Cyber ops
- Cyber attack
- death cashing
- OCO's benefit
- Cyber War
- environment deaths from also active
- Medicine and Food
- Conventional Warfare

AFF wins this debate based on amount of arguments and the lack of refutation by the NEG. The NEG needs to state their ground and argue why environment is better

77

Lori Khashaki - Nova 42**FLIGHT: A**

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 2:00-3:30 - Debate Round 4

Room: E3 521

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP**ASAP****Flip for Sides:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership ED & KG *CAFF***Flip for Sides:** Wilshire Academy BA & AJ *(Neg)*

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>1</u>	Ethan Dong	<u>2</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>2</u>	Kevin Guo	<u>4</u>	<u>96</u>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>2</u>	Brandon An	<u>1</u>	<u>99</u>
<u>1</u>	Andrew Jo	<u>3</u>	<u>97</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

*Ethan**Andrew*

- try to look up more during
- a little difficult to understand your 3rd contention about norm settings
- *read the*

- good eye contact
- *name*
- good job with mentioning numbers

*Kevin**Brandon*

- try not to move so much during your speech

- great speaker!
- try to organize your paper before you speak

73

Dawson Khury - ELAC**FLIGHT: A**

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 2:00-3:30 - Debate Round 4

Room: E3 451

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

Neg

Aff

ASAP**Flip for Sides:** Nova 42 Academy TR & KZ

<u>Pos</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>RK (1-4)</u>	<u>PTS (100-70)</u>
<u>1</u>	Taara Reddy	<u>4</u>	<u>190</u>
<u>2</u>	Kevin Zhang	<u>2</u>	<u>99</u>

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership AJ & SC

<u>Pos</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>RK (1-4)</u>	<u>PTS (100-70)</u>
<u>1</u>	Annie Jiang	<u>1</u>	<u>100</u>
<u>2</u>	Sarah Chen	<u>3</u>	<u>100</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Solid debate, both teams did really well. Main critique for Neg is to find more examples to link oco's to retaliation, logic was there but the Aff put the focus on examples. The debate was close, I was swaying back & forth. Aff, good use of numerous examples in your speeches, you came prepared. I would have placed more emphasis on saving lives, that point is really important.

Great Job!

Edhe Rigg

81

Richard Hu - ELAC

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 2:00-3:30 - Debate Round 4

Room: E3 508

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Nova 42 Academy SS & JW **AFF**

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1	Sodongoo Sodtuya	4	85
2	James Wang	3	90

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth **NRG**
Leadership KG & JS

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1	Katelyn Gan	1	100
2	Joy Sun	2	95

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

Edhe Rigg

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

ELAC

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFF

4:06

- reduce casualties
- it's not worth attacking
- can be used diplomatically
- deterrents
- other countries such as Russia and China could devastate the U.S.
- did not retaliate

4:04

- want to protect U.S. citizens

4:28

- Claims Russia and China won't attack
- we would destroy each other
- it would save lives (save casualties)

2:19

NRG

4:07

- violate and disrupt the allied system
- hacking into other allied system for potential threats
- it is NOT hypothetical
- Who would want to ally with the U.S. after
- Center 2: retaliation
- claimed that the speaker failed to acknowledge
- deterrents are not often used
- Cyber attacks have happened
- Allies would be greatly impacted
- O is deadlier without any punishment
- ~~the~~ AFF never showed who 2:05
- AFF has failed to rebut the retaliation 1:57

83

Ryan Ohanessian - ELAC

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 2:00-3:30 - Debate Round 4

Room: E3 455

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Emerson Community Charter
School AE & SG *Neg 1st*

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>2</u>	Aaron Eliassian	<u>3</u>	<u>94</u>
<u>1</u>	Sophie Gopen	<u>4</u>	<u>93</u>

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership PH & SR *Aff 2nd*

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>2</u>	Pearl Hsieh	<u>2</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>1</u>	Sophia Ren	<u>1</u>	<u>96</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- Neg's interpretation of Aff's Deterrence arg is misunderstood.
- Tax and bargaining arg needs to be better articulated on aff.
- Points were being rebuttled, but ~~not~~ without enough explanation. Point back to evidence or use logic.
↳ need more "why's?"
- Voted on ~~Neg~~ ^{Aff} for deterrence and minimized effects of cyber attacks.

Alonzo Eldridge

72

BYE - ZZ

FLIGHT: B

Open Lincoln Douglas - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 12:30-2 - Debate Round 3

Room: E3 257

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership NC

Negative: Academy of Higher Learning OZ

Name

PTS (100-70)

Name

PTS (100-70)

Nathan Chen

93

Olivia Zhao

28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ☒

Al

Nova 412

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFF

- Speech ~~not~~ clearly in your best constructive 2nd constructive vs 1st
- Fossil Fuels ^{cost} the govt
- Corruption with Gas Companies
- Clean energy
- Renewables energy
- Densest pollution/emission - India
- Climate Change
- Pragmatism
- Subsidies hurt the environment
- Fossil fuel cost 20b
- Global warming
- Less price competition

NEG

- effect subsidies
- high cost/low stability - coal
- renewable or gas
- more than 100% fossil fuel
- NEG solar/renewable
- market close
- Not eliminate renewable sources, but reducing
- Renewable energy not reliable
- Fossil reduction consumption
- technology and price
- Method of elimination of fossil fuel

CLASH

- Subsidies - high
- Renewable cost
- energy low efficiency
- Criticism pragmatism versus morality
- price drop
- Morality
- Subsidies
- Source
- Fossil fuels emission
- Cost
- India
- Global warming
- Efficiency of energy source

REFD:

AFF wins this debate based on India example and the 40 million budget increase. The NEG needed to develop the high cost/low stability argument. Opp debate!

Alonzo Eldridge

74

Dawson Khury - ELAC

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 2:00-3:30 - Debate Round 4

Room: E3 451

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

NEG

AFF

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy EE & TH

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership SD & MY

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1	Erdem Enkhbileg	24	94
2	Tayten Han	2	97

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2	Sophia Dai	1	98
1	Melody Yu	3	96

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win?

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFF

Clash & tension

NEG

- Cost benefit analysis is hard
- Terrorism
- Cyber attacks
- USA lost of trillion dollars
- China has been stealing U.S. data
- Iran "OCOS says lives"
- logical arguments
- external attack
- Western terrorism
- strength in our country
- old said lives

- War is traditional
- 2nd cyber
- OCO's
- Cyber security
- Offensive
- Cyber security
- Determine
- Conflict
- Military methods
- Versus
- Cyber War
- Historical
- Evidence

- Nuclear war
- Cyber offense
- harder than you think
- cost benefit analysis
- Cyber attacks/weapon physical war
- Conflict and physical war
- U.S./Russia
- Conflicts
- do will get hurt
- defense better
- Inefficiency and costly offense
- cyber security

RFD: AFF wins this debate based on 3 main impacts of this debate with same lives with cyber versus a military war by the NEG. NEG need stronger arguments about military.

Christiana ~~Alonzo~~ Nuñez

78

Alonzo Eldridge - ELAC

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 2:00-3:30 - Debate Round 4

Room: E3 511

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy RL & JC

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>2</u>	Ryan Lee	<u>1</u>	<u>88</u>
<u>1</u>	Jacob Cho	<u>2</u>	<u>87</u>

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership JW & CL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>1</u>	Joleen Wong	<u>4</u>	<u>85</u>
<u>2</u>	Chloe Leong	<u>3</u>	<u>86</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

ELAC Kudos